

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,545	03/14/2001	Yuu Suzuki	05905.0133	5622
75	590 11/22/2004	EXAMINER		
Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner 1300 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3315			ONEILL, MICHAEL W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, D	2 2000 3313		3713	
	4		DATE MAILED: 11/22/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
-		09/700,545	SUZUKI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael O'Neill	3713		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address		
Period fo	or Keply		MONTH(S) FROM		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per une to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me that cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u>0 March 2004</u> .			
201⊠	This action is FINAL 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims		·		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 and 26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 and 26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.00(d).		
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the color of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		_		
6	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a local All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received i priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage		
Attachm		م المعامل الم	ew Summary (PTO-413)		
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	e\ Paper	No(s)/Mail Date		
3) In	of contracts of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (1705) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Saper No(s)/Mail Date	3B/08) 3) ☐ 14011C6	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6-30-04 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: certain words have brackets of the type "[]" around them without an justification therefor in the grammatical sense, see e.g. pages 1, 4-7, 13, 15-17, etc that have these words: [a player], [objects], [this difference], [show that], [when the results], [the process], [the game], [a response], etc. It appears that the Applicants have been prosecuting the instant application for over three years as they still have not bothered to read their specification and correct these annoying errors to a reader skilled in the art with an amendment. These

Art Unit: 3713

errors are throughout the instant specification and need to be corrected. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 1-15 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is maintained from the Office action of 3-30-04 and is incorporated herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claims 1-15 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoshima et al. in view of

Yamada further in view of Applicant's Admission of Prior Art is

maintained from the Office action of 3-30-04 and is incorporated

herein.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-30-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The remarks parallel the last set of remarks in the response dated 1-16-04. The Examiner fully addressed those remarks with respect to 35 U.S.C. \$\sum_{\text{SS}}\$ 112-1 and 103(a) in the Office action of 3-30-04. The instant Examiner is incorporating those eloquently stated remarks into this Office action because those remarks best express and address the issues. The instant Examiner would like to add some additional comments on the prosecution of the instant application taken by the Applicants. First comment is

Art Unit: 3713

that the instant Examiner has thoroughly read the instant specification at does not find any basis for what is claimed within the amended claims 1-15 and 26. Pages 14 and 15 is where an embodiment of the invention is described. In sum, the disclosed invention is not couched in the terms that the Applicants are using to argue that the claimed invention is enabled by the instant specification. Page 14 is where the preferred embodiment is disclosed. It is described the protagonist character meets the practice character and a dialogue ensues. On page 15, it is described that the practice mode begins. On this page, it is further described that if the protagonist character performs the technique properly then praise is given, if not, then corrective action is given. Pages 17-20 is where another embodiment is disclosed. On page 17, it is described that during a roll playing game the practice character appears and a scene for training special techniques is displayed on the screen. Further on this page is described that the protagonist character has the option to accept training at this time. Page 18 is where it is described the competition between the protagonist and a competing character and where the ending of the competition is decided. Further on this page it is described that upon the ending of the competition either the game is over or the game loops back to practice mode. Page 19

Art Unit: 3713

elaborates on the practice mode. What is described therein is the protagonist character and practice character converse on a variety of subjects, some are unrelated to the game's progress but are important to have in order to increase the player's interest in the game. There appears described that the practice character tells the protagonist character what to do whereby the player does what is told by utilizing the controls on the input device. Practice continues until the player know how to and what needs to be pressed on the input device to have the protagonist character perform the practiced feat.

Further responding to the Applicants traversal of the 103(a) rejections, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicants contention that the Examiner did not establish the prima facie case and that all limitations are not disclosed, taught or suggested by the combination of references. The rejection makes clear what each reference discloses, teaches or suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art and why one skilled in the art would find it obvious to combination the references to meet the limitations of the claimed inventions.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and

Application/Control Number: 09/700,545
Art Unit: 3713

art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 571-272-4442. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan M. Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MON

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

mulcull